UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspio.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/531,658	10/27/2006	Karlheinz Brunner	460868.00020	1917
26710 QUARLES & I	7590 04/07/200 BRADY LLP	EXAMINER		
411 E. WISCO	NSIN AVENUE	SAIDHA, TEKCHAND		
SUITE 2040 MILWAUKEE, WI 53202-4497			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1652	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/07/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/531,658	BRUNNER ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Tekchand Saidha	1652				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>17 De</u>	ecember 2008.					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
	- ' '					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application.	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>9,10 and 15-18</u> is/are	4a) Of the above claim(s) 9,10 and 15-18 is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	S) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6) Claim(s) <u>1,4,6-8 and 11-14</u> is/are rejected.	☑ Claim(s) <u>1,4,6-8 and 11-14</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) <u>2,3,5,19 and 20</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	relection requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Applicati	on No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	ity documents have been receive	ed in this National Stage				
application from the International Bureau	` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` `					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not receive	ed.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P					
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	альний фриосион				

Application/Control Number: 10/531,658

Art Unit: 1652

FINAL REJECTION

1. Claim amendment and arguments filed 12/17/2008 is acknowledged. Claims 1-22 are present.

Page 2

2. Claims 1-8, 11-14 & 19-22 drawn to a process for the enzymatic synthesis of oil and /or fat with simultaneous enzymatic formation of fatty acid esters are under consideration.

3. Claims withdrawn:

Claims 9-10 & 15-18 remain withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

- 4. Applicant's arguments filed with the amendment filed 12/17/2008 have been fully considered but they are not deemed to be persuasive. The reasons are discussed following the rejection(s).
- 5. Any objection or rejection of record not expressly repeated in this Office Action has been overcome by Applicant's response and withdrawn.
- 6. Claim 1, line 6, recites the phrase "an alcohol soluble in oil or fat". There is a typographical error which may be corrected by replacing the phrase with "an alcohol soluble in oil or fat". This will give the appropriate meaning of the fat or oil being soluble in alcohol, rather than the other way around. Correction is required.

7. Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein

were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1, 4, 6-8, 11-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ghosh et al. [J.Am.Oil Chem.Soc.; (1996) 72, 12, 1541-44] and Buhler [Fat Science Technology, 89(14): 598-605 (1987), cited in PTO-1449].

Claims 1, 4 & 6-8 are drawn to a process for the enzymatic synthesis of oil and /or fat with simultaneous enzymatic formation of fatty acid esters using lipases acting as biocatalysts and alcohols wherein the free fatty esters are separated by distillation or wherein the organic phase is drained out from the self-discharging centrifuge. This means that any other alcohol may also be used, example glycerol. Claims 11-14 are drawn to a process for the enzymatic synthesis of oil and /or fat with simultaneous enzymatic formation of fatty acid esters using lipases acting as biocatalysts and glycerol.

Ghosh et al. teach microbial lipase technology to have enormous potential for making ester derivatives for various specific industrial applications. Microbial lipase (EC-3.1.1.3)-catalyzed hydrolysis, esterification, and alcoholysis reactions were carried out on acid oils. For hydrolysis reactions, the substrate (20 g acid oil) was placed in a 100 ml Erlenmeyer flask. Water (60% weight of acid oil) containing 0.08 g (0.4% weight of acid oil) of *Candida cylindracea* lipase (EC-3.1.1.3) powder was added. The reaction mixture was stirred at 33-37°C. For simultaneous esterification and alcoholysis, acid oils (1.0 g) and different <u>alcohols</u> in 1:1molar ratio were taken in a 10 ml round-bottomed flask and stirred at 58-62 °C for 4 hr with 10% (weight of acid oil) of *Mucor miehei* lipase. Neutral glycerides of the acid oils were hydrolyzed by *C. cylindracea* lipase almost completely within 48 hr. Acid oils were converted into fatty acid esters of short- and long-chain alcohols like C4, C8, C10, C12, C16, and C18 in high yields by the simultaneous esterification and alcoholysis reactions with *M. miehei* lipase.

Art Unit: 1652

The reference does not teach the use of centrifugal phase separation and recovery of the enzyme (used for esterification and alcoholysis) for recycling of the enzymatic process.

Buhler et al. teach enzymatically catalyzed reactions, especially in the pharmaceutical field, the product-added value to be derived from the fat-splitting process is low. On the other hand fatty acids have a remarkable market volume. Continuous processing, with reuse of the enzyme, seems to be the biotechnological method of choice. In order to achieve high space-time-yields, carrier fixation of a lipase may be unfavorable due to mass transfer limitations, especially in the case of two-phase reaction systems. Buhler et al. developed a method for the continuous use of lipases without carrier fixation. Since the enzyme is enriched at the phase boundary (fat/water) where the reaction takes place, a micro-emulsion is desirable, and phase separation is necessary for product isolation and for recovery of the enzyme. This was accomplished by the use of two stirred tank reactors and two continuously operating centrifuges or polishing centrifuges. The conditions were selected so that about 90% of the pure aqueous phase containing glycerol (first stage), and about 90% of the pure fat phase containing fatty acids "(second stage), were separated. By this series of two incomplete separations it was possible to recycle about 90 % of the enzyme together with the interfacial layer. This procedure allows a kinetically and thermodynamically desirable counter-current flow of the fat and the aqueous phases. See Figures 1-13, for the degree of hydrolysis, reaction rate, the process scheme, enzyme recycling, self discharging centrifuges, means of separating fatty acid products, use of glycerol (an alcohol) and the various variations in the process steps.

Buhler et al. do not teach alcoholysis and esterification using a lipase.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use the alcoholysis and esterification process employed by Ghosh et al. and combine with centrifugal phase separation and recovery of the enzyme (used for esterification and alcoholysis) for recycling of the enzymatic process for extended use of the lipase enzyme by the method of Buhler et al. in order develop a process for making fatty acid esters or derivatives from fats or oils and do so with a reasonable expectation of

success. It would have been further obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to vary the temperature and alcohol used for the conversion of oils or fats to fatty acid esters of short- and long-chain alcohols like C4, C8, C10, C12, C16, and C18 by employing what is well know in the art as high lighted by the teachings of Ghosh et al and Buhler et al.

One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to combine the teachings of Ghosh et al and Buhler et al. to make a range of fatty acid esters in view of the known use of various ester derivatives as raw material for chemical industry (Buhler et al., page 598, column 1, Introduction). The recycling of the enzyme helps reduce the high cost of the enzyme used in the process and is suggested in the works of Buhler et al. (See page 598, column 2, Introduction).

Thus, the claimed invention was within the ordinary skill in the art to make and use at the time was made and was as a whole, *prima facie* obvious.

- 8. Claims 2-3, 5 & 19-22 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 9. No claim is allowed.
- 10. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tekchand Saidha whose telephone number is (571) 272

Application/Control Number: 10/531,658 Page 6

Art Unit: 1652

0940. The examiner can normally be reached on 8.30 am - 5.00 pm. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nashaat Nashed can be reached on (571) 272 0934. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Tekchand Saidha/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1652 Recombinant Enzymes, 02A65 Remsen Bld. 400 Dulany Street, Alexandria, VA 22314 Telephone: (571) 272-0940 March 30, 2009